

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP 2550 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

O +1 202 457 6000 F +1 202 457 6315 squirepattonboggs.com

Paul Besozzi T +1 202 457 5292 Paul Besozzi@squirepb.com

#### VIA ECFS AND IBFS

August 14, 2017

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Ex Parte Submission – WC Docket No. 17-126; ITC-T/C-20170511-00094; ITC-T/C-20170511-00095 – Securus Investment Holdings, LLC; Securus Technologies, Inc.; T-NETIX, Inc.; and T-NETIX Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of Securus Investment Holdings, LLC, Securus Technologies, Inc., T-NETIX, Inc., and T-NETIX Telecommunications Services, Inc. (collectively "STI") and SCRS Acquisition Corporation ("SCRS," and, together with STI, the "Applicants"), by and through the undersigned counsel and in accordance with Section 1.1206(b) of the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission") rules¹ submit this Ex Parte Submission in connection with the pending request for approval of the indirect transfer of control of STI's domestic and international Section 214 authority though a parent-level transaction ("Transaction").²

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Joint Application of Securus Investment Holdings, LLC, Transferor, Securus Technologies, Inc., Licensee T-NETIX, Inc., Licensee T-NETIX Telecommunications Services, Inc., Licensee, and SCRS Acquisition Corporation For Grant of Authority Pursuant to Section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 63.04 of the Commission's Rules to Transfer Indirect Ownership and

Specifically, on Friday, August 11, 2017, in response to the requests of the Commission's staff, Paul C. Besozzi provided the documents contained in Attachment 1 hereto by email to Madeleine Findley, Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau, as well as staff in the Competition Policy Division of the Wireline Competition Bureau: Daniel Kahn, Division Chief; Jodie May, Assistant Division Chief; Sherwin Siy, Special Counsel; and Dennis Johnson, Attorney Advisor. The documents in Attachment 1 include:

- 1. A list of states in which notice is required or being provided as a courtesy with respect to the Transaction; and
- Disclosure Schedules 3.5 and 4.3 of the April 29, 2017 Stock Purchase Agreement ("SPA"), along with an explanation regarding Schedule 5.3 to the SPA.<sup>3</sup>

The Applicants respectfully reiterate their request that the Joint Application be processed expeditiously.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul C. Besozzi

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP

2550 M Street, NW

Washington, DC 20037

202-457-5292

Counsel for Securus Investment Holdings, LLC; Securus Technologies, Inc.; T-NETIX, Inc.; and T-NETIX Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Control of Licensees to SCRS Acquisition Corporation, WC Docket 17-126 (filed May 11, 2017), ITC-T/C-20170511-00094, ITC-T/C-20170511-00095 (filed May 11, 2017) ("Joint Application").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In a separately-filed Notice of Ex Parte on August 11, 2017, STI provided the SPA with the Disclosure Schedules redacted. See STI Notice of Ex Parte, WC Docket No. 17-126; ITC-T/C-20170511-00094, ITC-T/C-20170511-00095, Attachment 7 (filed Aug. 11, 2017) ("STI Aug. 11, 2017 Ex Parte"). As STI has provided further Disclosure Schedules to the Commission's staff, STI has updated final page to Attachment 7 of the STI Aug. 11, 2017 Ex Parte to reflect the Disclosure Schedules provided. See Attachment 2.

Page 3

cc: Chairman Ajit Pai

Commissioner Mignon Clyburn Commissioner Michael O'Rielly Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel Commissioner Brendan Carr Kris Monteith, Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau Tom Sullivan, Chief, International Bureau Jay Schwarz, Office of Chairman Pai Kristine Fargotstein, Office of Chairman Pai Jim Bird, Office of General Counsel Madeleine Findley, Wireline Competition Bureau Daniel Kahn, Wireline Competition Bureau Jodie May, Wireline Competition Bureau Sherwin Siy, Wireline Competition Bureau Dennis Johnson, Wireline Competition Bureau Tracey Wilson, Wireline Competition Bureau David Krech, International Bureau Sumita Mukhoty, International Bureau Lee G. Petro, Counsel for Petitioners

William B. Wilhelm, Counsel for Transferee.

## Attachment 1 Documents provided to the Commission's staff

#### STI/SCRS NOTICE STATES

#### Pre-Closing Notices (Already Filed)

Connecticut

Idaho

Kentucky

Montana

Nevada

Rhode Island

South Dakota

Washington

#### Post-Closing Required Notices

Alabama

Massachusetts

New Mexico

Oregon

Texas

Vermont

Wisconsin

#### Courtesy Notices (to be sent post-closing)\*

Arkansas

Florida

Illinois

lowa

Kansas

Maine

Michigan

New Hampshire

South Carolina

Tennessee

Wyoming

<sup>\*</sup> Note: The courtesy notices are not required but will be filed based on past practices in similar transactions.

### Schedule 3.5 Consents and Requisite Governmental Approvals; No Violations

- 1. <u>Schedule 6.3</u> (Money Transmitter Consents) is incorporated in its entirety by reference herein.
- 2. Federal Communications Commission Approvals:
  - (i) Securus International Section 214 Authorization
  - (ii) T-Netix International Section 214 Authorization
  - (iii) Securus Domestic Section 214 Authorization
  - (iv) T-Netix Domestic Section 214 Authorization
  - (v) T-Netix Telecommunications Domestic Section 214 Authorization
  - (vi) CellBlox Acquisition, LLC Multiple Spectrum De Facto Leases
  - (vii) CellBlox Acquisition, LLC Multiple Special Temporary Authority for Experimental Services
- 3. State Public Utility Commission Filings:
  - (i) Alabama
  - (ii) Alaska
  - (iii) Arizona
  - (iv) Arkansas
  - (v) California
  - (vi) Connecticut
  - (vii) Delaware
  - (viii) Florida
  - (ix) Georgia
  - (x) Hawaii
  - (xi) Idaho
  - (xii) Illinois

- (xiii) Indiana
- (xiv) Iowa
- (xv) Kansas
- (xvi) Kentucky
- (xvii) Louisiana
- (xviii) Maine
- (xix) Maryland
- (xx) Massachusetts
- (xxi) Michigan
- (xxii) Minnesota
- (xxiii) Mississippi
- (xxiv) Montana
- (xxv) Nebraska
- (xxvi) New York
- (xxvii) Nevada
- (xxviii)New Hampshire
- (xxix) New Mexico
- (xxx) Ohio
- (xxxi) Oregon
- (xxxii) Pennsylvania
- (xxxiii)Rhode Island
- (xxxiv)South Carolina
- (xxxv) South Dakota
- (xxxvi)Tennessee
- (xxxvii) Texas

7

(xxxviii) Vermont

(xxxix) Washington

- (xl) West Virginia
- (xli) Wisconsin
- (xlii) Wyoming
- 4. The Credit Facilities
- 5. Items 27 through 80 of Schedule 3.7(a)(i) are incorporated by reference herein.
- 6. Items 1, 5, 23, 28, 31, 32, 47, 48 and 51 of <u>Schedule 3.7(a)(iii)</u> are incorporated by reference herein.

## Schedule 4.3 Consents and Approvals; No Violations.

1. <u>Schedule 3.5</u> (Consents and Requisite Governmental Approvals; No Violations) is incorporated in its entirety by reference herein.

#### Schedule 6.3 Money Transmitter Consents

Applications or Notices need to be filed in a total of 48 U.S. jurisdictions where JPay Inc. is licensed as a money transmitter.

#### Formal Applications and Approvals:

1. Alaska	18. Minnesota
2. Arizona	19. Mississippi
3. Arkansas	20. Nevada
4. California	21. New Jersey
5. Colorado	22. New Mexico
6. Delaware	23. New York
7. D.C.	24. North Carolina
8. Florida	25. North Dakota
9. Georgia	26. Ohio
10. Hawaii	27. Oklahoma
11. Illinois	28. Puerto Rico
12. Iowa	29. South Dakota
13. Kansas	30. Texas
14. Kentucky	31. Vermont
15. Louisiana	32. Virginia
16. Maryland	33. Washington
17. Michigan	34. Wyoming
	35. South Carolina

#### Notice States:

1.	Alabama	8. Oregon
2.	Connecticut	9. Pennsylvania
3.	Idaho	10. Rhode Island
4.	Maine	11. Tennessee
5.	Nebraska	12. Utah
6.	New Hampshire	13. West Virginia
7.	Missouri	14. Wisconsin

From:

Sullivan, Laura A. <laura.sullivan@kirkland.com>

Sent:

Friday, August 11, 2017 5:22 PM

To:

Besozzi, Paul

Cc:

Dennis Reinhold; Loss, James W.; Andrew Ray; William B. Wilhelm

Subject:

SAFE - Disclosure Schedules

Attachments:

Pages from Securus - Disclosure Schedules - EXECUTION.pdf

#### Paul,

See attached for Schedule 3.5, Schedule 4.3 and Schedule 6.3. As discussed, there is not a Schedule 5.3 in the disclosure schedules despite the reference thereto in the SPA. With respect to the first reference to Schedule 5.3 in the rep, this should have included a cross reference to Schedule 3.5 (or been a reference to Section 3.5 in the SPA itself). For the second reference to Schedule 5.3, there are no responsive matters to be listed so there was no separate schedule provided.

I've copied the Morgan Lewis team to the extent there are follow up questions on Schedule 5.3.

Thanks, Laura

Laura Sullivan

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue. New York, NY 10022 T +1 212 446 4849 M +1 347 419 2832 F +1 212 446 4900

laura sullivantākirkland cera

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Elis LLP or Kirkland & Elis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return email or by email to prove have a property of the communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

## Schedule 3.5 Consents and Requisite Governmental Approvals; No Violations

- 1. <u>Schedule 6.3</u> (Money Transmitter Consents) is incorporated in its entirety by reference herein.
- 2. Federal Communications Commission Approvals:
  - (i) Securus International Section 214 Authorization
  - (ii) T-Netix International Section 214 Authorization
  - (iii) Securus Domestic Section 214 Authorization
  - (iv) T-Netix Domestic Section 214 Authorization
  - (v) T-Netix Telecommunications Domestic Section 214 Authorization
  - (vi) CellBlox Acquisition, LLC Multiple Spectrum De Facto Leases
  - (vii) CellBlox Acquisition, LLC Multiple Special Temporary Authority for Experimental Services
- 3. State Public Utility Commission Filings:
  - (i) Alabama
  - (ii) Alaska
  - (iii) Arizona
  - (iv) Arkansas
  - (v) California
  - (vi) Connecticut
  - (vii) Delaware
  - (viii) Florida
  - (ix) Georgia
  - (x) Hawaii
  - (xi) Idaho
  - (xii) Illinois

- (xiii) Indiana
- (xiv) Iowa
- (xv) Kansas
- (xvi) Kentucky
- (xvii) Louisiana
- (xviii) Maine
- (xix) Maryland
- (xx) Massachusetts
- (xxi) Michigan
- (xxii) Minnesota
- (xxiii) Mississippi
- (xxiv) Montana
- (xxv) Nebraska
- (xxvi) New York
- (xxvii) Nevada
- (xxviii)New Hampshire
- (xxix) New Mexico
- (xxx) Ohio
- (xxxi) Oregon
- (xxxii) Pennsylvania
- (xxxiii)Rhode Island
- (xxxiv)South Carolina
- (xxxv) South Dakota
- (xxxvi)Tennessee
- (xxxvii) Texas

(xxxviii) Vermont

(xxxix)Washington

- (xl) West Virginia
- (xli) Wisconsin
- (xlii) Wyoming
- 4. The Credit Facilities
- 5. Items 27 through 80 of Schedule 3.7(a)(i) are incorporated by reference herein.
- 6. Items 1, 5, 23, 28, 31, 32, 47, 48 and 51 of <u>Schedule 3.7(a)(iii)</u> are incorporated by reference herein.

## Schedule 4.3 Consents and Approvals; No Violations.

1. <u>Schedule 3.5</u> (Consents and Requisite Governmental Approvals; No Violations) is incorporated in its entirety by reference herein.

#### Schedule 6.3 Money Transmitter Consents

Applications or Notices need to be filed in a total of 48 U.S. jurisdictions where JPay Inc. is licensed as a money transmitter.

#### Formal Applications and Approvals:

1. Alaska	18. Minnesota
2. Arizona	19. Mississippi
3. Arkansas	20. Nevada
4. California	21. New Jersey
5. Colorado	22. New Mexico
6. Delaware	23. New York
7. D.C.	24. North Carolina
8. Florida	25. North Dakota
9. Georgia	26. Ohio
10. Hawaii	27. Oklahoma
11. Illinois	28. Puerto Rico
12. Iowa	29. South Dakota
13. Kansas	30. Texas
14. Kentucky	31. Vermont
15. Louisiana	32. Virginia
16. Maryland	33. Washington
17. Michigan	34. Wyoming
	35. South Carolina

#### Notice States:

1.	Alabama	8. Oregon
2.	Connecticut	9. Pennsylvania
3.	Idaho	10. Rhode Island
4.	Maine	11. Tennessee
5.	Nebraska	12. Utah
6.	New Hampshire	13. West Virginia
7.	Missouri	14. Wisconsin

# Attachment 2 Amendment to final page of Attachment 7 of the STI Aug. 11, 2017 Ex Parte

REDACTED SCHEDULES 1.1(a) – 3.4(d); 3.7(a) – 3.24; 6.1

> REDACTED EXHIBITS A – C

PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED SCHEDULES 6.3-7.1(b)

SCHEDULE 5.3 NOT INCLUDED IN FINAL SPA